

Anomalies and Hawking fluxes from the black holes of topologically massive gravity

Achilleas P. Porfyriadis*

Department of Physics

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

(Dated: August 31, 2009)

Abstract

The anomaly cancelation method proposed by Wilczek et al. is applied to the black holes of topologically massive gravity (TMG) and topologically massive gravito-electrodynamics (TMGE). Thus the Hawking temperature and fluxes of the ACL and ACGL black holes are found. The Hawking temperatures obtained agree with the surface gravity formula. Both black holes are rotating and this gives rise to appropriate terms in the effective U(1) gauge field of the reduced (1+1)-dimensional theory. It is found that the terms in this U(1) gauge field correspond exactly to the correct angular velocities on the horizon of both black holes as well as the correct electrostatic potential of the ACGL black hole. So the results for the Hawking fluxes derived here from the anomaly cancelation method, are in complete agreement with the ones obtained from integrating the Planck distribution.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 04.70.Dy, 11.30.-j

*Electronic address: apporfyr@mit.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Hawking radiation is perhaps the most prominent effect of quantum gravity. There are various derivations, including Hawking's original one [1] calculating Bogoliubov coefficients, which have shown that the radiation is thermal with a blackbody spectrum at a temperature given by the surface gravity, $T_H = \kappa/2\pi$. Derivations have been found also using Euclidean quantum gravity [2] and in string theory [3]. A derivation using semiclassical methods (WKB) in a tunneling picture has been proposed by Parikh and Wilczek in [4] (see also [5]).

Recently, a new method for calculating Hawking radiation from the horizon of black holes has been developed by Robinson and Wilczek [6] and by Iso, Umetsu, and Wilczek [7, 8]. The new method ties the existence of Hawking radiation to quantum anomalies at the horizon of black holes. More precisely, it is argued that gauge and gravitational anomalies at the horizon can account for the Hawking fluxes of the black hole. The key step, the one that needs to be carried out separately for each black hole case, is the dimensional reduction of the scalar field action in the black hole background considered in the method. That is, one considers a complex scalar field in the black hole background and reduces its action near the horizon to a collection of $1+1$ dimensional fields. Then using the ideas and calculations in [6] one obtains the Hawking temperature T_H from the anomalies cancelation viewpoint. Furthermore, using the analysis carried out in [7, 8] one may also find the specific Hawking fluxes of the energy-momentum, angular momentum (if the black hole is rotating) and electric charge (if the black hole is charged).

During recent intense work the method proposed by Wilczek *et al.* [6, 7, 8] has been successfully applied to various black objects [9], including the newly obtained black holes of five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity [10]. In the direction of further extending the method, higher spin currents were studied under conformal transformations to obtain the full thermal spectrum of Hawking radiation [11]. An interesting point raised in [7] was that while it is the consistent form of the anomalies that is canceled, the boundary condition employed at the horizon is the vanishing of the covariant form of the current. Following up, in [12] a variant of the original method was proposed in which only covariant forms of the anomalies are used and all its applications [13] have confirmed the results obtained in the corresponding papers in [9]. For a discussion of the relative merits of these various approaches see [14]. In this Letter however, as we are primarily concerned on applying the

method to new black holes, we will follow the original approach of [6, 7, 8] only. For other related work on the subject see also [15].

In this Letter we apply the anomalies cancelation method to obtain the Hawking temperature and fluxes of black holes of topologically massive gravity [16]. Three-dimensional topologically massive gravity (TMG) with a negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$, is known to admit two types of black hole solutions: the Einstein BTZ black hole [17] which solves trivially the TMG equations of motion and the recently obtained non-Einstein black hole given in [20]. For the case of the BTZ black hole accounts on applying the anomalies cancelation method may be found in [18]. We will thus concentrate only on the non-Einstein black holes of TMG. The first solution with a vanishing cosmological constant was recently found in [19] and the ACL black hole with negative cosmological constant which we are going to consider in this Letter is given in [20]. We will also analyze the case of the ACGL black hole [23] which is the corresponding newly obtained solution in topologically massive gravito-electrodynamics (TMGE).

The rest of the Letter is as follows. In Section II we derive the fluxes of Hawking radiation from general charged rotating black holes by integrating the Planck distribution. This will serve as a reference for comparing with our result obtained later using the anomalies cancelation method. In Section III we apply the anomalies cancelation method, following [6, 7, 8], to the ACL black hole and derive its Hawking temperature and fluxes of energy-momentum tensor and angular momentum. We find agreement of the results with the surface gravity formula for the temperature and the results of section II for the fluxes. In Section IV we do the same for the ACGL black hole, also finding its charge flux. The results are again consistent with our expectations. Section V is devoted to conclusions and discussions.

II. BLACKBODY RADIATION

In order to compare with our results from anomalies cancelation later, let us here calculate the Hawking fluxes one obtains from integrating the Planck distribution for a general rotating black hole with a nontrivial electromagnetic background gauge field A . The appropriate chemical potentials for fields radiated with an azimuthal angular momentum m and an electric charge e are the horizon angular velocity Ω_H and the corotating electrostatic potential Φ respectively. For fermions, the Planck distribution for blackbody radiation moving

in the positive r direction at a temperature T_H is given by,

$$N_{e,m}(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{(\omega - e\Phi - m\Omega_H)/T_H} + 1}. \quad (1)$$

We consider only fermions in order to avoid superradiance [8]. From the above distribution and including the contribution from the antiparticles, we find the following Hawking fluxes of electric charge, angular momentum, and energy-momentum tensor respectively:

$$F_Q = e \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} (N_{e,m}(\omega) - N_{-e,-m}(\omega)) = \frac{e}{2\pi} (e\Phi + m\Omega_H), \quad (2)$$

$$F_a = m \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} (N_{e,m}(\omega) - N_{-e,-m}(\omega)) = \frac{m}{2\pi} (e\Phi + m\Omega_H), \quad (3)$$

$$F_M = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \omega (N_{e,m}(\omega) + N_{-e,-m}(\omega)) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (e\Phi + m\Omega_H)^2 + \frac{\pi}{12} T_H^2. \quad (4)$$

It should be emphasized that the above fluxes are so observed by a static observer at fixed radius r close to the horizon: the radiation is purely thermal only *at* the horizon. This emission when propagated to infinity experiences the effective potential due to spatial curvature outside the horizon and thus the spectrum of the radiation observed at infinity is modified to that of a three-dimensional grey body at the Hawking temperature [6, 7, 8]. For asymptotically flat space-times it is often said loosely that the Hawking fluxes observed at infinity are thermal *if* one ignores the grey body factor. The black holes we are going to consider in this Letter are not asymptotically flat and hence due to spatial curvature at infinity, the fluxes above do not coincide with the ones observed at infinity *even if* one ignores the grey body factor.¹

In the following sections we shall derive the above fluxes at the horizon of ACL and ACGL black holes using the gravitational anomalies cancelation method. We will follow [6, 7, 8] which derived the fluxes in the Unruh vacuum and only for an observer *at* the horizon (i.e. we neglect the grey body factor at infinity).

¹ I am grateful to the anonymous referee for raising the point and to Frank Wilczek and Sean Robinson for helping clarify my understanding on this.

III. ACL BLACK HOLE

ACL black hole is a rotating black hole solution to three-dimensional topologically massive gravity (TMG) described by the action

$$I_{TMG} = I_E + I_{CS} \quad (5)$$

where I_E is the Einstein action,

$$I_E = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda), \quad (6)$$

and I_{CS} is the gravitational Chern-Simons action,

$$I_{CS} = \frac{1}{32\pi G\mu} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\lambda\sigma}^\rho \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\sigma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{\mu\tau}^\sigma \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\tau \right). \quad (7)$$

Here $1/\mu$ is the Chern-Simons coupling constant, $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor (tensor density given by $\epsilon/\sqrt{-g}$ with $\epsilon^{012} = +1$), and G is the gravitational constant which may be taken both positive or negative. The metric of the ACL black hole, in ADM form, is given by [20]

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + r^2 (d\varphi + N^\varphi dt)^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{\zeta^2 r^2 N^2}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$r^2 = \rho^2 + 2\omega\rho + \omega^2(1 - \beta^2) + \frac{\beta^2 \rho_0^2}{1 - \beta^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$N^2 = \beta^2 \frac{\rho^2 - \rho_0^2}{r^2}, \quad (10)$$

$$N^\varphi = -\frac{\rho + (1 - \beta^2)\omega}{r^2}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\beta^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{27\Lambda}{\mu^2} \right), \quad \zeta = \frac{2}{3}\mu. \quad (12)$$

Regular black holes with finite temperature are obtained in the range $0 < \beta^2 < 1$, $\rho_0 > 0$, and $\omega > -\rho_0/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ ($\omega \neq \rho_0/(1 - \beta^2)$). The two horizons are at $\rho = \pm\rho_0$ with the outer $\rho = \rho_0$ being the event horizon (henceforth called the horizon). The two parameters ω and ρ_0 are related to the black hole mass and angular momentum.

ACL black holes are so far the only known non-Einstein regular black hole solutions of TMG. They were also obtained (in different coordinates) in [21] where they are called “spacelike stretched black holes.” These black holes are asymptotic to warped AdS_3 and it

has been shown that they are quotients of warped AdS_3 under a discrete subgroup of the isometry group [21], just as BTZ black holes are quotients of AdS_3 [22].

Consider a scalar complex field in the ACL background. The free part of the action is

$$S = - \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial_\nu \phi = \frac{1}{\zeta} \int dt d\rho d\varphi \phi^* \left[-\frac{1}{N^2} \partial_t^2 + \zeta^2 \partial_\rho r^2 N^2 \partial_\rho + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{N^{\varphi^2}}{N^2} \right) \partial_\varphi^2 + 2 \frac{N^\varphi}{N^2} \partial_t \partial_\varphi \right] \phi. \quad (13)$$

To study the near horizon theory, $\rho \rightarrow \rho_0$, it is most convenient to transform first to a “tortoise” like coordinate. In our case if we first transform to the ρ_* coordinate defined by

$$d\rho = \zeta r N^2 d\rho_*, \quad (14)$$

and then take the near horizon limit $\rho \rightarrow \rho_0$ (*i.e.* $N^2 \rightarrow 0$) we obtain,

$$S \approx \int dt d\rho_* d\varphi r \phi^* [-\partial_t^2 + \partial_{\rho_*}^2 - N^{\varphi^2} \partial_\varphi^2 + 2N^\varphi \partial_t \partial_\varphi] \phi. \quad (15)$$

Then by expanding the complex field ϕ as

$$\phi = \sum_m \phi_m(\rho_*, t) e^{im\varphi}, \quad (16)$$

we may integrate out the φ dependence to find that

$$\begin{aligned} S &\approx \sum_m 2\pi \int dt d\rho_* r \phi_m^* [-\partial_t^2 + \partial_{\rho_*}^2 + m^2 N^{\varphi^2} + 2imN^\varphi \partial_t] \phi_m \\ &= \sum_m 2\pi \int dt d\rho_* r \phi_m^* [-(\partial_t - imN^\varphi)^2 + \partial_{\rho_*}^2] \phi_m. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The last implies that the near horizon theory has been reduced to an infinite collection of massless $1+1$ dimensional fields labeled by the quantum number m . Indeed, transforming back to the original (ρ, t) coordinates, to each of the fields ϕ_m corresponds the action:

$$S_m = \int dt d\rho r \phi_m^* \left[-\frac{1}{\zeta r N^2} (\partial_t - imN^\varphi)^2 + \partial_\rho \zeta r N^2 \partial_\rho \right] \phi_m.$$

That is, each ϕ_m can be considered as a $1+1$ complex scalar field in the backgrounds of the dilaton $\Psi = r$, metric

$$ds^2 = -f(\rho) dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(\rho)} d\rho^2, \quad \text{where} \quad f(\rho) = \frac{d\rho}{d\rho_*} = \zeta r N^2 \quad (18)$$

and $U(1)$ gauge potential

$$\mathcal{A}_t = mN^\varphi, \quad \mathcal{A}_\rho = 0. \quad (19)$$

The effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry here has its roots in the axial isometry of the ACL black hole in the first place. Also note that any possible mass or interaction terms in the full action would have been trivially suppressed in the near horizon limit too, since they would all be multiplied by a factor f in the tortoise coordinates.

At this point we impose the constraint that the classically irrelevant ingoing modes vanish near the horizon and thus the theory becomes chiral. Hence anomalies arise which, as will be shown, may account for the Hawking fluxes of the black hole.

In particular, it was shown in [6] that for a metric of the form (18), the (purely timelike) anomaly for the energy-momentum tensor at the horizon accounts for a flux of massless blackbody radiation at the temperature $T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_\rho f|_{\rho_o}$. Thus it follows that the Hawking temperature of the ACL black hole is given by

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_\rho f|_{\rho_o} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \zeta r \partial_\rho N^2|_{\rho_o} = \frac{\mu \beta^2}{3\pi} \frac{\rho_o}{r_h}, \quad (20)$$

where $r_h = r(\rho_0) = [\rho_0 + (1 - \beta^2)\omega]/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$. This result agrees with the one given in [20] where the Hawking temperature was calculated as the surface gravity at the horizon $\kappa = n^\rho \partial_\rho N|_{\rho_o}$ (where $n^\rho = \sqrt{g^{\rho\rho}} = \zeta r N$) divided by 2π .

In [7, 8] fluxes of angular momentum, electric charge (if there is one) and energy-momentum tensor were computed from gauge and gravitational anomalies at the horizon, by deriving and solving the Ward identities with appropriate boundary conditions corresponding to the Unruh vacuum. The boundary conditions employed were vanishing of the radial component of the covariant current at the horizon and also (implicitly) vanishing of ingoing current at radial infinity [8]. The second amounts to setting the integration constant k^r , which arises in solving the Ward identity for the contribution of the ingoing modes K^r , equal to zero.

Very recently, a modification of the derivation of the fluxes was proposed in [26] which does not set the integration constant k^r equal to zero. Instead, working with k^r arbitrary, the fluxes are derived using conformal field theory techniques and a different set of boundary conditions which again correspond to the Unruh vacuum. In particular, it is shown in [26] that in order to derive the fluxes corresponding to $U(1)$ current one has to consider not only the gauge anomaly but also the chiral anomaly and similarly in order to derive the energy-momentum flux one needs to consider the trace anomaly too (in addition to the gravitational anomaly for the energy-momentum tensor). Then, given the appropriate

boundary conditions employed in [26] the same fluxes as in [8] are derived for the Unruh vacuum.

Since in this Letter we are primarily interested in the application of the method to new black holes we will not review the details of either derivation but rather use the end results for the flux formulas. It is found that for a metric of the form (18) and with an effective $U(1)$ gauge potential as in (19) the fluxes of angular momentum and energy-momentum are [8, 26]

$$-\frac{m}{2\pi}\mathcal{A}_t(\rho_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{4\pi}\mathcal{A}_t^2(\rho_0) + N_t^r(\rho_0)$$

respectively, with $N_t^r = (f'^2 + f f'')/192\pi$. We thus find that the flux of angular momentum for the ACL black hole, corresponding to the gauge anomaly, is

$$-\frac{m}{2\pi}\mathcal{A}_t(\rho_0) = -\frac{m^2}{2\pi}N^\varphi(\rho_0) = \frac{m^2}{2\pi}\Omega_H, \quad (21)$$

where $\Omega_H = -N^\varphi(\rho_0)$ is the angular velocity at the horizon, and the flux of energy-momentum corresponding to the gravitational anomaly is

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\mathcal{A}_t^2(\rho_0) + N_t^r(\rho_0) = \frac{m^2}{4\pi}N^\varphi(\rho_0)^2 + \frac{1}{192\pi}(\partial_\rho f)^2|_{\rho_0} = \frac{m^2}{4\pi}\Omega_H^2 + \frac{\pi}{12}T_H^2. \quad (22)$$

We thus find that the Hawking fluxes derived from anomalies cancelation method for the ACL black hole (21,22) agree with those calculated from integrating the thermal spectrum in (3,4), as clearly $\Phi = 0$ for the ACL black hole.

IV. ACGL BLACK HOLE

ACGL black hole is a solution to three-dimensional topologically massive gravito-electrodynamics (TMGE), that is, three-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory with both gravitational and electromagnetic Chern-Simons terms. It is described by the action

$$I_{TMGE} = I_E + I_M + I_{CSG} + I_{CSE} \quad (23)$$

where I_E and I_M are the Einstein and Maxwell actions respectively,

$$I_E = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda), \quad (24)$$

$$I_M = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\sigma}, \quad (25)$$

and I_{CSG} and I_{CSE} are the gravitational and electromagnetic Chern-Simons actions respectively,

$$I_{CSG} = \frac{1}{32\pi G\mu_G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\lambda\sigma}^\rho \left(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\sigma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{\mu\tau}^\sigma \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\tau \right), \quad (26)$$

$$I_{CSE} = \frac{\mu_E}{2} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho. \quad (27)$$

Here the Chern-Simons coupling constants are $1/\mu_G$ and μ_E . The metric of the ACGL black hole, in ADM form, is given by equations (8–11) with [23]

$$\beta^2 = \frac{1 - 2\lambda - 4\Lambda/\mu_E^2}{2(1 - \lambda)}, \quad \zeta = \mu_E, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{\mu_E}{2\mu_G}. \quad (28)$$

Generic regular black holes with finite temperature are obtained for $0 < \beta^2 < 1$ and $\rho_0 > 0$.² The two horizons are at $\rho = \pm\rho_0$ with the outer $\rho = \rho_0$ being the event horizon (henceforth called the horizon) and the two parameters ω and ρ_0 are related to the black hole mass and angular momentum. Present is also a gauge electromagnetic potential given by

$$A = A_t dt + A_\varphi d\varphi, \quad (29)$$

where

$$A_t = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda - 1}{8\pi G}} (1 - \beta^2) \quad \text{and} \quad A_\varphi = \mp \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda - 1}{8\pi G}} (\rho + (1 - \beta^2)\omega). \quad (30)$$

For this electromagnetic potential to be real the Chern-Simons coupling constants should obey appropriate bounds: if the gravitational constant G is taken to be positive then we should have $\mu_E/\mu_G > 2/3$, otherwise if G is negative then $\mu_E/\mu_G < 2/3$.

Considering a scalar complex field in the ACGL background we have the free part of the action

$$S = - \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (D_\mu \phi)^* D_\nu \phi = \frac{1}{\zeta} \int dt d\rho d\varphi \phi^* \left[-\frac{1}{N^2} D_t^2 + \zeta^2 \partial_\rho r^2 N^2 \partial_\rho + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{N^{\varphi^2}}{N^2} \right) D_\varphi^2 + 2 \frac{N^\varphi}{N^2} D_t D_\varphi \right] \phi, \quad (31)$$

where $D_t = \partial_t + ieA_t$ and $D_\varphi = \partial_\varphi + ieA_\varphi$ and e is the electric charge of ϕ . Transforming to the tortoise coordinate (14) and taking the near horizon limit we find,

$$S \approx \int dt d\rho_* d\varphi r \phi^* \left[-D_t^2 + \partial_{\rho_*}^2 + N^{\varphi^2} D_\varphi^2 - 2N^\varphi D_t D_\varphi \right] \phi. \quad (32)$$

² We have set the time scale parameter in [23] to $\sqrt{c} = 1$.

Then by expanding the field ϕ as in (16) we may integrate out the φ dependence to find that

$$S \approx \sum_m 2\pi \int dt d\rho_* r \phi_m^* [-(D_t - ieA_\varphi N^\varphi - imN^\varphi)^2 + \partial_{\rho_*}^2] \phi_m. \quad (33)$$

The last implies that the near horizon theory has been reduced to an infinite collection of massless 1 + 1 dimensional fields labeled by the quantum number m . Indeed, transforming back to the original (ρ, t) coordinates, to each of the fields ϕ_m corresponds the action:

$$S_m = \int dt d\rho r \phi_m^* \left[-\frac{1}{\zeta r N^2} (\partial_t + ie(A_t - N^\varphi A_\varphi) - imN^\varphi)^2 + \partial_\rho \zeta r N^2 \partial_\rho \right] \phi_m.$$

That is, each ϕ_m can be considered as a 1 + 1 complex scalar field in the backgrounds of the dilaton $\Psi = r$, metric

$$ds^2 = -f(\rho)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(\rho)}d\rho^2, \quad \text{where} \quad f(\rho) = \frac{d\rho}{d\rho_*} = \zeta r N^2 \quad (34)$$

and $U(1)$ gauge potential $\mathcal{A}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{A}_t = -e(A_t - N^\varphi A_\varphi) + mN^\varphi, \quad \mathcal{A}_\rho = 0. \quad (35)$$

The effective $U(1)$ gauge symmetry here consists of two pieces: the first one came from the original electromagnetic gauge field of the ACGL black hole (29) while the second one is again a result of the axial isometry of the ACGL black hole.

Now ignoring the classically irrelevant ingoing modes near the horizon we will find that the anomalies arising in the chiral theory account for the Hawking fluxes of the black hole. First, following [6] for the metric (34) the anomaly for the energy-momentum tensor at the horizon gives a flux of massless blackbody radiation at the temperature $T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_\rho f|_{\rho_o}$. Thus it follows that the Hawking temperature of the ACGL black hole is given by

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_\rho f|_{\rho_o} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \zeta r \partial_\rho N^2|_{\rho_o} = \frac{\mu_E \beta^2 \rho_o}{2\pi r_h}, \quad (36)$$

where $r_h = r(\rho_0) = [\rho_0 + (1 - \beta^2)\omega]/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$. This result agrees with the one given in [23] where the Hawking temperature was calculated as the surface gravity at the horizon $\kappa = n^\rho \partial_\rho N|_{\rho_0}$ (where $n^\rho = \sqrt{g^{\rho\rho}} = \zeta r N$) divided by 2π .

Next, we proceed to calculate the fluxes of electric charge, angular momentum, and energy-momentum tensor from gauge and gravitational anomalies at the horizon. For a

metric of the form (34) and with an effective $U(1)$ gauge potential as in (35) the flux of electric charge is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{e}{2\pi}\mathcal{A}_t(\rho_0) &= -\frac{e}{2\pi}[-e(A_t - N^\varphi A_\varphi) + mN^\varphi]|_{\rho_0} \\ &= \frac{e}{2\pi}[e(A_t(\rho_0) + \Omega_H A_\varphi(\rho_0)) + m\Omega_H], \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $\Omega_H = -N^\varphi(\rho_0)$ is the angular velocity at the horizon. As the Killing vector of the ACGL black hole is $\xi = \partial_t + \Omega_H \partial_\varphi$, the corotating electrostatic potential is,

$$\Phi = \xi^\mu A_\mu|_{\rho_0} = A_t(\rho_0) + \Omega_H A_\varphi(\rho_0)$$

which shows that (37) agrees with the standard result (2). Similarly, we find for the ACGL black hole, that the flux of angular momentum is

$$-\frac{m}{2\pi}\mathcal{A}_t(\rho_0) = \frac{m}{2\pi}[e\Phi + m\Omega_H], \quad (38)$$

and the flux of energy-momentum is

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\mathcal{A}_t^2(\rho_0) + N_t^r(\rho_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi}[e\Phi + m\Omega_H]^2 + \frac{\pi}{12}T_H^2. \quad (39)$$

We note that (38) and (39) are also seen to agree with the standard results (3) and (4) respectively.

V. CONCLUSION-DISCUSSION

In this Letter we have applied the method of anomalies cancelation to derive the Hawking temperature and fluxes of the ACL and ACGL black holes of topologically massive gravity and gravito-electrodynamics. It was shown that near the horizon the quantum field behaves as an infinite set of two-dimensional conformal fields labeled by only one quantum number. The effective two-dimensional theory near the horizon is described by charged matter fields in an electric field. In particular, for both black holes, the azimuthal symmetry lead to a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry for each partial field mode and the respective $U(1)$ charge is given by the corresponding azimuthal quantum number. Upon suppressing classically irrelevant ingoing modes, we have calculated the Hawking temperature and fluxes of the black holes from the gauge and gravitational anomalies that arise in the chiral theory. The results found

are consistent with the surface gravity formula and the fluxes obtained from integrating the Planck distribution, respectively.

The method of Wilczek *et al.* adopted here uses only quantum anomalies at the horizon and therefore it is quite universal, in the sense that it does not depend on the details of the quantum fields away from the horizon. The success of the method though crucially relies on the dimensional reduction of the d -dimensional action (for the scalar field used to probe a particular d -dimensional black hole background) to the 2-dimensional ones on the (ρ, t) plane. Therefore, the important equations of this Letter are equations (17) and (33) which ensure that the rest of the method will yield fluxes in complete agreement with the Planckian ones. The anomaly method has been applied to a large number of black objects to date [8], but the cases in this Letter are new in that it is the first time black holes from topologically massive gravity are considered using this method.

In general, it is not clear *a priori* for any black hole in any number of dimensions and coming from any theory of gravity that the dimensional reduction for the probing scalar field is at all possible, or even if possible, that it will yield such two-dimensional actions (i.e. with such an $f(\rho)$ and such an effective U(1) gauge field $\mathcal{A}_t$), that the anomaly cancelation method will give correct Hawking fluxes. Though not well understood why, it seems it is always possible to reduce a scalar field action in the background space-time of a black hole to an infinite sum of two-dimensional conformal field actions near the horizon. Therefore, an important development would be establishing the reduction of the action in general, for every black hole, so that a case by case application is no longer necessary. The ADM form of the black holes in this Letter suggests that such a general reduction may actually be possibly performed for a general ADM metric describing a black hole. Making sure that the metric actually describes a black hole is crucial and amounts to putting certain assumptions on the lapse and the shifts along the lines in [24]. Work in that direction is in progress.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Frank Wilczek and Sean Robinson for enlightening discussions on the subject.

Note added: After completion of this work the preprint [25] appeared in the arXiv which has some overlap with Section III. In [25] the authors obtain the Hawking fluxes using the covariant methods of [12] and the results agree with the ones obtained here in section III following the original method of [6, 7, 8].

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking, “Particle Creation By Black Holes,” Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)].
 - [2] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Action Integrals And Partition Functions In Quantum Gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2752 (1977).
 - [3] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, “Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy,” Phys. Lett. B **379**, 99 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9601029].
 - [4] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, “Hawking radiation as tunneling,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5042 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907001].
 - [5] V. A. Berezin, A. Boyarsky and A. Y. Neronov, “On the Mechanism of Hawking Radiation,” Grav. Cosmol. **5**, 16 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/0605099].
 - [6] S. P. Robinson and F. Wilczek, “A relationship between Hawking radiation and gravitational anomalies,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 011303 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0502074].
 - [7] S. Iso, H. Umetsu and F. Wilczek, “Hawking radiation from charged black holes via gauge and gravitational anomalies,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 151302 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602146].
 - [8] S. Iso, H. Umetsu and F. Wilczek, “Anomalies, Hawking radiations and regularity in rotating black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044017 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606018].
 - [9] E. C. Vagenas and S. Das, JHEP **0610**, 025 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606077]; K. Murata and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044018 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606069]; S. Iso, T. Morita and H. Umetsu, JHEP **0704**, 068 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612286]; Z. Xu and B. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 024041 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612261]; Q. Q. Jiang, S. Q. Wu and X. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064029 (2007) [Erratum-ibid. **76**, 029904 (2007)] [arXiv:hep-th/0701235]; Q. Q. Jiang and S. Q. Wu, Phys. Lett. B **647**, 200 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701002]; X. Kui, W. Liu and H. b. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **647**, 482 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702199]; Q. Q. Jiang, S. Q. Wu and X. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **651**, 65 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3871 [hep-th]]; U. Miyamoto and K. Murata, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024020 (2008) [arXiv:0705.3150 [hep-th]]; B. Chen and W. He, Class. Quant.

- Grav. **25**, 135011 (2008) [arXiv:0705.2984 [gr-qc]]; Q. Q. Jiang, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 4391 (2007) [arXiv:0705.2068 [hep-th]]; J. J. Peng and S. Q. Wu, Chin. Phys. B **17**, 825 (2008) [arXiv:0705.1225 [hep-th]]; H. Shin and W. Kim, JHEP **0706**, 012 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0265 [hep-th]]; W. Kim and H. Shin, JHEP **0707**, 070 (2007) [arXiv:0706.3563 [hep-th]]; S. Q. Wu and J. J. Peng, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 5123 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0983 [hep-th]]; C. G. Huang, J. R. Sun, X. n. Wu and H. Q. Zhang, arXiv:0710.4766 [hep-th]; W. Kim, H. Shin and M. Yoon, arXiv:0803.3849 [gr-qc];
- [10] A. P. Porfyriadis, “Hawking radiation via anomaly cancelation for the black holes of five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity,” arXiv:0811.2822 [hep-th].
- [11] S. Iso, T. Morita and H. Umetsu, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 124004 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701272]; S. Iso, T. Morita and H. Umetsu, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064015 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3494 [hep-th]]; S. Iso, T. Morita and H. Umetsu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 045007 (2008) [arXiv:0710.0456 [hep-th]]; S. Iso, T. Morita and H. Umetsu, Nucl. Phys. B **799**, 60 (2008) [arXiv:0710.0453 [hep-th]]; S. Iso, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2082 (2008) [arXiv:0804.0652 [hep-th]].
- [12] R. Banerjee and S. Kulkarni, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024018 (2008) [arXiv:0707.2449 [hep-th]]; R. Banerjee and S. Kulkarni, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 827 (2008) [arXiv:0709.3916 [hep-th]]; R. Banerjee, arXiv:0807.4637 [hep-th]; R. Banerjee and S. Kulkarni, arXiv:0810.5683 [hep-th].
- [13] S. Gangopadhyay and S. Kulkarni, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024038 (2008) [arXiv:0710.0974 [hep-th]]; S. Gangopadhyay, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 064027 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3095 [hep-th]]; J. J. Peng and S. Q. Wu, Phys. Lett. B **661**, 300 (2008) [arXiv:0801.0185 [hep-th]]; S. Kulkarni, arXiv:0802.2456 [hep-th]; S. Gangopadhyay, arXiv:0803.3492 [hep-th]; R. Banerjee, arXiv:0807.4637 [hep-th]; S. Gangopadhyay, arXiv:0809.4572 [hep-th]; R. Banerjee and S. Kulkarni, arXiv:0810.5683 [hep-th].
- [14] K. Umetsu, “Ward Identities in the derivation of Hawking radiation from Anomalies,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **119**, 849 (2008) [arXiv:0804.0963 [hep-th]].
- [15] K. Murata and U. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 084038 (2007) [arXiv:0707.0168 [hep-th]]; X. n. Wu, C. G. Huang and J. R. Sun, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 124023 (2008) [arXiv:0801.1347 [gr-qc]]; L. Bonora and M. Cvitan, JHEP **0805**, 071 (2008) [arXiv:0804.0198 [hep-th]]; S. Ghosh, arXiv:0804.2999 [hep-th]. S. Q. Wu, J. J. Peng and Z. Y. Zhao, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 135001 (2008) [arXiv:0803.1338 [hep-th]]; S. Das, S. P. Robinson and E. C. Vagenas, Int. J.

- Mod. Phys. D **17**, 533 (2008) [arXiv:0705.2233 [hep-th]]; L. Bonora, M. Cvitan, S. Pallua and I. Smolic, arXiv:0808.2360 [hep-th]; T. Morita, arXiv:0811.1741 [hep-th].
- [16] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “Topologically massive gauge theories,” *Annals Phys.* **140**, 372 (1982) [Erratum-ibid. **185**, 406.1988 APNYA,281,409 (1988 APNYA,281,409-449.2000)]; S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “Three-Dimensional Massive Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 975 (1982).
- [17] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, “The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849 (1992) [arXiv:hep-th/9204099].
- [18] M. R. Setare, “Gauge and gravitational anomalies and Hawking radiation of rotating BTZ *Eur. Phys. J. C* **49**, 865 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0608080]; Q. Q. Jiang, S. Q. Wu and X. Cai, “Hawking radiation from (2+1)-dimensional BTZ black holes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **651**, 58 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701048].
- [19] K. Ait Moussa, G. Clement and C. Leygnac, “The black holes of topologically massive gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20**, L277 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0303042].
- [20] A. Bouchareb and G. Clement, “Black hole mass and angular momentum in topologically massive gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 5581 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0263 [gr-qc]].
- [21] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger, “Warped AdS_3 Black Holes,” arXiv:0807.3040 [hep-th].
- [22] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, “Geometry of the (2+1) black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 1506 (1993) [arXiv:gr-qc/9302012].
- [23] K. Ait Moussa, G. Clement, H. Guennoune and C. Leygnac, “Three-dimensional Chern-Simons black holes,” arXiv:0807.4241 [gr-qc].
- [24] S. Carlip, “Black hole entropy from conformal field theory in any dimension,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2828 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812013].
- [25] S. Nam and J. D. Park, arXiv:0902.0982 [hep-th].
- [26] T. Morita, arXiv:0902.3885 [hep-th].